# **United States Senator – Democrat**

## **Dave Domina**

### Larry Marvin

Website: www.davedomina.com

Questions List: (in order asked, some reported out of order for space saving purpose)

- OtherAgencies/DepartmentsBiographicalSustainable DevelopmentMotivationIndian AffairsQualificationsFederal financesFederal GovernmentTaxesPurposeMilitary/foreign policyBalance of powersHealthcare
- Energy Religious Freedom Gun Control Jobs Reform Conflict of Views Parental Rights Amendment
- Presidential Appointees Constitution Family Personal Story Everyone questions Personal/Political
- Abortion/capital punishment/etc. Homosexuality Past President Anything else?

### **Other** - Information about making contact with the candidate.

Dave<br/>Domina3/18, 7:20pm, campaign worker said to email. Questionnaire emailed at 7:40pm. Campaign office also called<br/>VIP office to acquire the questionnaire. Answers copied from email response received 3/14/14, 3:09pm.Larry<br/>Marvin[Note: Mr. Marvin ran for Senate two years ago, so we already knew he preferred to not use email. His<br/>previous answers and the new questions were mailed. He called the day he received the information and<br/>said to use his "old" answers for similar questions, but during the phone interview, he did provide a few new<br/>responses for most of the new questions.]

Biographical - Please include biographical information you consider important for voters to know and/or reference your website. website: www.davedomina.com. DOB 1950. Age 63. Married: Carol E Domina. Three (3) Children. Education: Public Grade School, High School, Dave University, Law School, All in Nebraska, Author: Peer reviewed and non - peer reviewed publications in many legal and economic subjects, Lawyer: Domina 250+ arguments before Supreme Courts or Courts of Appeal, 350 jury trial. Only U.S. lawyer known to have handled successfully cases to capital acquittals & billion dollar jury verdict. Handled 2 of 3 impeachment cases in NE history; NE Bank failure crisis; many successful challenges declaring laws unconstitutional; consulted to draft or analyze drafts of hundreds or perhaps thousands of laws and dozens of constitutional amendment proposals. Bank director: 31 years. Co-owner: multiple successful retail businesses from groceries to gifts. Owner: professional practice. 2012 answer: I am from a Methodist family of eight children, 5 girls and 3 boys. I was born at our home north of Bloomfield, NE. Attended public Larrv schools in Creighton and Long Pine, Nebraska, Military Language School at Monterrey, Calif. University of Nebraska at Lincoln obtained Master's Marvin degree in Education with majors in German, geography, history, and social sciences, with minors in Czech and Spanish; Real Estate broker's license, plus have taken business courses from Metro Community College. Adopted our foster son. Divorced after 26 years. Still single. Work at Fremont Beef Co. as leader person in glove room. Am debt free but like to spend money. Enjoy current events seeing all we have to offer here in Nebraska. I am a social person who enjoys being around people. Former Volunteer Fireman for 32 years, Past Master of Jordan Lodge AF & AM; past Patron of Rose Star OES; Past Charter Member of West Pointe Rescue; Past Charter Member of West Point Pantry; Charter member of west Point Eagles Club; landlord most all my life and own Marvin Reality.

Motivation - What has motivated you to seek this office? What do you hope to accomplish?

Dave Domina	America's need for a non-partisan, thoughtful approach to service in the U.S. Senate led me to offer myself as a candidate for election.	
	America's principles must inform its political debate; the principles of a particular political party cannot do so. We have different views of a	
	common goal; not different goals. The common goal must be a safe, secure America, a nation that keeps its word to its people after a	
	commitment is made, and people are induced to action by the government's commitment, and an America that provides equal opportunity,	
	and shows equal appreciation, for all. Partisanship has not served the nation well. It must be replaced by statesmanship.	
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What has motivated you to seek this office? Is there a key issue you hope to address? 2012 answer: Protect our Rights and	
,	have a choice. I want good government and I know that we have to be involved.	
Qualifications - What three auglifications do you bring to this office?		

		,	,		<i></i>
Dave Domina	[not answered]				
Larry Marvin	2012 answer: I be	lieve that	I have the best	educatio	on and background to do the best job for us. My history shows me working well with people.

<u>Federal Government Purpose</u> - In your opinion, what is the purpose of federal government in society? What should be done if the federal government steps outside that purpose either by neglect or over-reach.

, ,	
Dave Domina	Purpose: The Preamble to the Constitution provides the answer: "establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common
	defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty". Democracy, practiced on terms permitting all citizens to
	participate on an equal basis must be relied upon as the assurance that the constitutional purpose for the Federal Government is fulfilled.
	No single group can't substitute its judgment for that of the majority.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What is the purpose of federal government in society? 2012 answer: Protect us and to do those things for us which we can
,	not do for ourselves.

<u>Balance of powers</u> - Discuss your thinking on the balance of powers perspective for executive, legislative, and judicial branches of government. What would you keep the same or change?

Dave Domina	The Constitution supplies the balance of powers perspective; this is one of the principal purposes for which the Constitution was drafted. Lawmaking, execution of laws, and adjudication of legal issues, including validity of laws, are the three separate functions of the three branches of government. The Constitution requires each branch fully respect the other in its individualized capacity. This system must be retained and, with vigilance, strengthened always.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: To what extent should Congress release legislative authority to the executive branch with regard to environmental issues? 2012 answer: Never. All three Branches of our government are equal and are to check and balance the other one or two.

Agencies/Departments - Are there any federal agencies/departments that should be created or abolished?

Dave Domina	Federal agencies and departments inevitably evolve as the needs of the nation, and changes in society, evolve. A constant search must be made to eliminate duplication, inconsistency, and conflict. Even when agencies are retained, overlapping functions must be eliminated promptly to avoid excess cost and unnecessary complication.
Larry Marvin	[not asked in 2012]

<u>Sustainable Development</u> - In some venues, the term "sustainable development" is tied to the UN Agenda 21 thinking. Others consider conservation of natural resources to be tantamount. Where would you place yourself on environmental issues and why?

Dave Domina	Climate change is a real phenomenon, and a primary concern. Elimination of fossil fuel dependence must be a high priority. Water conservation and protection must be as important as air quality, or, more so. Renewable energy, clean water, and clean air are indispensable to everyone. The purposes for the federal government cannot be fulfilled without making top level priorities include conservation of natural resources, and
Larry Marvin	environmental protection, all for the sake of assuring and enduring and sustainable living environment and food production system. 2012 question: What does sustainable development mean to you? What do you believe should be the government's role in establishing green policy? 2012 Answer: It should give incentives and not be punitive.

Indian Affairs - What do you see as the solution for socio-economic, educational, and employment difficulties on Indian reservations in Nebraska?

Dave	Respect for the cultural differences of Native Americans, and investment of confidence in their ability to fulfill the needs of their families in their own
Domina	ways, must be the first consideration in any effort to assist. Assistance offered cannot be given in exchange for an express or implied demand to
Domina	abandon cultural differences. Health and socio-economic education, high-quality schools focused on employment in the native community, and
	attraction of jobs to the community must follow. Federal programs can encourage each of these things. "Grants" tend to reward grant writers, and not
	reach intended beneficiaries. Allocation of program resources should change so grant writing is not the primary tool for dispensation of assistance.
Larry Ma	rvin 2012 answer: Constantly review what is working and what is harmful or undermining self-sufficiency or financial independence.

<u>Federal finances</u> - Under what circumstances should government be allowed to spend more than revenues? What is your view on a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution?

Dave	At times, the federal government must wage war, expend large sums of money to make peace, provide for its population during times of strife,
Domina	depression, or pestilence. At these times, the government may be required to spend more than it takes in. This is in the nature of government; it is a
Domina	defining difference between government and business as usual, at least on a theoretical basis for business. A balanced budget amendment to the
	Constitution is unlikely to work.
Larry	2012 question: Under what circumstances should government be allowed to spend more than revenues? 2012 answer: Wars and similar unplanned
Marvin	disasters. 2012 guestion: What recommendations do you have for balancing the federal budget? 2012 answer: Create a War Tax and a Luxury Tax
warvin	and the pre-Bush same fair tax.

<u>Taxes</u> - Briefly describe your philosophy of taxes. What is your view of the Fair Tax, Flat Tax, national sales tax, or current progressive income tax?

Dave Domina	Federal taxation, principally through the use of a progressive income tax structure that matches rate to income, with a low-end exemption for income at or below the poverty level, and the number of human exemptions for dependents, should be the core method for raising money from individuals and companies. The tax code should not be used to influence social policy, stimulate spending, make exceptions or exemptions, or otherwise, favor one use of funds over another. The tax code should generate and collect funds. Spending, reflecting social, economic, or political decisions should occur separately. I oppose the Fair Tax, Flat Tax, and the national sales tax. All three are regressive, not progressive. Repeatedly, the American people have made it clear that none of these will work.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: Briefly describe your philosophy of taxes. What would be your view of the Fair Tax, Flat Tax, national sales tax, current IRS
	code? 2012 answer: Taxes are a waste of time. We need what we need and can pay for.

<u>Military/foreign policy</u> - What would you support or not support in U.S. foreign policy? What is your view concerning U.S. support of Israel? How would you balance the transparency and national security issues concerning foreign policy? What percentage of the federal budget should be designated for the military?

Dave	Foreign policy: US foreign policy must support domestic security and tranquility. It must advance American interests by making the world more
Domina	secure, and America's prestige in the world more prominent. American foreign policy should also coincide, in general, with the policy of our allies. America should lead in the formulation and expression of that policy. Israel is an indispensable partner of the United States in the world community. Its independence, strength, and territorial integrity, and its security, are closely connected, and unavoidably related, to US national interests. Transparency and national security issues concerning foreign policy must be balanced by the constraints of the Fourth & Fifth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution. Both unreasonable searches and seizures of information from US citizens is prohibited. Use of drones to attack and execute US citizens is not consistent with the Fifth Amendment. The nation, as a nation, is defined by its Constitution. We are not made more secure than our Constitution is avoided or violated for any purpose. No specific or flat fraction of the Federal budget should be devoted to the military. The amount waxes and wanes with the needs and demands of the United States, its allies, and the world circumstances. At times, the military budget may outstrip incoming revenues; these with the extreme times, but they have occurred previously in our history (Civil War; 2 World Wars).
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What would you support or not support in U.S. foreign policy? What is your view concerning U.S. support of Israel? 2012 answer: I don't support graft and corruption. I support the concept. 2012 question: How would you balance the transparency and national security issues concerning foreign policy? 2012 answer: Criminals and crooked politician hide behind their words. National Security. I believe the solvency of our Social Security Trust fund and our 401K programs are national Security issues.

Healthcare - What do you see as the ideal healthcare system? What action, and why, do you plan to take to achieve that?

In an ideal healthcare system, citizens choose positions, participate in formulation of health care plans after diagnoses, and have sufficient of Dave financial support, through their insurance programs to pay for a necessary, reasonable plan of care. In such a healthcare system, no one is more Domina important than the patient and the physician. Ins. Co., personnel and hospital administrators do not trump decision-making, or decision-making among available options, in an ideal system. No insurance company has a monopoly in a State or territory in an ideal system. Executives are not overcompensated in an ideal system. Pre-existing conditions do not disgualify an insured, lifetime limits do not prevent necessary treatment, and funds are not withheld from premiums to pay for buildings, grounds, charitable contributions, etc., by insurance companies at the expense of insured patients, when the system is ideal. Healthcare, and equal access to it, are fundamental elements of citizenship in an ideal governmental system, and therefore an ideal healthcare system. European and Canadian models provide much guidance, and the room for some significant improvement. The affordable care act requires modification to make it work. State options defeat its purposes. Individual state Medicaid expansion is inconsistent with the necessary objectives. Ins. Co's should not be permitted to withdraw plans that are noncompliant; the plans should be amended automatically as a matter of law. Every plan should provide basics. Everyone should have access. The emergency room cannot be a primary care location. 40 - 50 million Americans cannot continue to be uninsured. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care act is very good. The newspaper didn't report how there was a 17% savings, and that's a lot, that Larry reduced the taxes for paying county employees in November and December. With the prohibition on denying coverage for pre-existing conditions, Marvin

<u>Energy</u> - You may say something about the Keystone XL Pipeline if you wish, but the real question is, "What do you consider to be appropriate federal policy with regard to energy and why?

Nebraska taxpayers don't have to subsidize the COBRA payments - saving \$20 million.

Dave Domina	I represent Nebraska farmers and ranchers who have opposed Nebraska's Gov.'s attempt to give Trans-Canada the right to take land from Nebraskans with unfair easements. The easements proposed would abandon the worn-out pipe under the Farms of Nebraskans, leaving them with the environmental cleanup. They would leave Nebraskans confronted with liability in the event of spills, etc. And, in many instances, they would dramatically impair the ability of Nebraskans to use their land to make a living. These things should not be allowed when the party taking the easement is a foreign corporation proposing to build a pipeline across the United States that will not provide a source of domestic fuel. American energy policy must be focused upon prompt development of all forms of renewable energy, elimination of fossil fueled dependents at the earliest hour, termination of taxpayer subsidies for big oil companies, increases in the costs of oil exploration to make renewable energy more attractive, and incentives or assistance to encourage Americans to use autos, and make other energy consumption decisions, than the useless and less petroleum based fuel.
Larry Marvin	Concerning Keystone. I don't like foreign intervention. They allegedly gave money to Heineman and Bruning campaigns years ago. The Sierra Club blew the whistle, the Democrats should have. When two parties can't keep themselves clean, we have a problem. Then they changed to a second location and we paid for a study, but it's still going over the Aquifer. The pipeline should do the same thing as the railroad did of returning the land to the property owners when it's not carrying oil. It should be terminated and abandoned. I wonder if these are the same investors as were doing the Sudan. I don't like eminent domain.

<u>Religious Freedom</u> - Describe any difference you see between freedom of religion and freedom of worship. What role should government agencies have in monitoring those freedoms?

Dave	Freedom of religion involves separation of Church and State. Church must be separated from state. Freedom of religion is the right to exercise the
Domina	religious expression in worship, as one chooses, so long as the religious expression does not cause harm to other citizens or the nation through some anti-American or anti-neighbor dogma. Government agencies should have little to do with monitoring these freedoms - and perhaps nothing to do with it. The judiciary should adjudicate claims involving violations of these freedoms to establish or exercise religion and worship. The United States should not permit religion to be intermixed with State or federal judicial functions. Church and State must maintain separateness.
Larry Marvin	American colonies declared independence in July, 1776. Then we had the articles of Confederation, then they created the Constitution, then they created the 10 amendments (Bill of Rights). We finally terminated paying taxes to the king of England when we quit paying taxes to the Church of England. It finally stopped the blood sucker shocking power of religious dictators. The King of England appointed the Archbishop of Canterbury - there's never been a woman archbishop, or a high priest Mormon. I'm strong on women's rights. We have an abstract ignorance of World War II. They never spoke up when minorities were abused. When we wanted to speak up, it was too late. I want answers. It frustrates me - I want the information, I have newspapers, TV, radio. There's too much misinformation with special interests manipulating.

#### Gun Control - Discuss your views on gun control both as a potential federal legislator and as a private citizen.

Dave	I know the difference between a firearm designed for pleasure and one designed to kill. I learned the differences as an American soldier and an
Domina	officer in the United States Army. Lines have been drawn and must be maintained between persons fit to have arms, and those who are unfit by reason of mental health, or otherwise. Lines must also be drawn about the kinds of weapons that will be permitted to be held by individuals. The
	constitutional term "arms" does not envision private ownership of munitions of war, battleships, fighter planes, missiles, bombs, etc. The distinction
	between an AK-47 held for pleasure, and an M-16 issued for military purposes, illustrates an appropriate place to draw the line.
Larry Mar	vin 2012 answer: I own weapons, even though I prefer never to touch them.

<u>Jobs</u> - What connection, if any, do you see between Immigration Policy and the creation of jobs? What is the responsibility of the Congress in creating jobs? What specific ideas do you have for jobs?

Dave Domina	Immigrants are entrepreneurial. This is always been the case. Entrepreneurship generates jobs. Congress is responsible for passing laws that provide intelligence support for an economic environment that puts the educations of students to work in sustainable jobs. Congress must make sure those jobs are not outsourced. Important steps for creating jobs are a), elimination of all tax loopholes and advantages that encourage outsourcing; b) adoption of trade policy that does not permit foreign-made goods to have an advantage over domestic goods; and c) educating for the purpose of generating jobs to which the United States has made a commitment to avoid expatriation of employment.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What is the responsibility of the Congress in creating jobs? What specific ideas do you have for jobs? 2012 answer: Government stimulated the railroads; rural electrification; the Internet, etc. I think the government is criminally foot dragging on approving PATENTS for the small business man or woman. Three years is unconscionable. While government allegedly posts the application on the Internet for poachers to poach and make modifications. This three year backlog has many jobs waiting to be created, so let's speed up this process. It is a no-brainer which Congress is unable to comprehend.

<u>Reform</u> - If you see a need for reform, describe what changes you would want to see happen to reform Immigration policies, Welfare policies, Education policies, or anything else.

Dave Domina	Persons who committed no crime upon entering the United States except to enter without an immediate credential, and who have lived here as law-abiding citizens contributing to our life as a nation for a reasonable period of time, should be permitted an immediate and prompt pathway to citizenship. They should not be deported and separated from children who are lawfully here, a spouse who is lawfully here, or a lifetime that has been lived here honorably and lawfully. Immigrants make life fuller for all of us. They keep the society vibrant, fresh, and forward-looking.
Larry Marvin	Immigration is slavery.

<u>Conflict of Views</u> - When you run into a conflict where your personal views don't agree with your political party, your constituents, a lobbyist and/or some group that has previously generously funded your campaign, how will you decide what to do?

Dave Domina	When the constituent, lobbyist, or political party is right, I will agree. When the constituent, lobbyist, or political party is wrong, I will disagree.
	And I will decide the difference by studying the law, objectively determined facts, listening carefully to as many points of view was possible,
	thinking deeply about the subject, and making an objective, self-made ultimate decision.
Larry Marvin	2012 answer: I use the Benjamin Franklin "T". Didn't we kill Jesus Christ because he was perfect?

<u>Parental Rights Amendment</u> - Do you oppose or support a Parental Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution? Why? (See www.parentalrights.org for proposed language.)

Dave Domina	I think the right to an education is a fundamental right. I think parents should participate in how education occurs, but that education must have baseline criteria and objectives. We must educate to generate good citizens, good soldiers when needed, good parents, good workers in jobs to which we are committed, and good role models. The Constitution should not be amended by the vague commitments like those suggested by the presently proposed Parental Rights Amendment.
Larry Marvin	2012 answer: No. All Rights remain with us except those Rights which we specifically gave to the Government.

<u>Presidential Appointees</u> - By which criteria will you vote on confirming presidential nominees, specifically judges, but also ambassadors, military commanders, cabinet members.

Dave	e The Senate is called upon to give advice and consent to presidential appointments, not to substitute judgment for the president. If the appointee is	
Domina	competent, sufficiently intelligent and informed, sufficiently objective, and committed to the best interests of the United States, he or she should be confirmed. This is true even though the nominee's political agenda may differ from what I perceive to be a superior political agenda.	
Larry Ma		

<u>Constitution</u> - Please briefly describe a situation where you had to stand alone for what you thought was right when the momentum was pushing the other way. What was the result?

Dave Domina	My responses to parts 4.1 [Balance of powers], 4.2 [Agencies/Departments], 5 [Religious Freedom] & 6 [Gun Control] above provide the best illustrations I can offer to answer this inquiry.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What does it mean to "uphold the Constitution" in the position you seek? 2012 answer: Not frivolous. The Constitution protects us from dictators and war lords, and copy cat tyrants.

<u>Family</u> - What role has your family played in your seeking this office? In holding this position, how do you foresee it affecting your family?

Dave Domina	Public service is a huge sacrifice. So is a candidacy. My family is fully supported. I will be attacked, called the names, perhaps even vilified. To my knowledge, I am the first person in the history of Nebraska in more than 60 years to offer myself for statewide office after having defendant persons for capital offenses, and undertaken other controversial, but important cases to protect Nebraskans from the government and large companies. I am proud of the service. So is my family. We know of the risk that it will be twisted, turned, contorted, and abused. And they all agree, the United States of America is worth the sacrifice.
Larry Marvin	2012 answer: I move cautiously because my mother does not think highly of politicians.

<u>Personal Story</u> - Please briefly describe a situation where you had to stand alone for what you thought was right when the momentum was pushing the other way. What was the result?

Dave Domina	More than a half dozen times, I stood alone against the government with an accused person facing a homicide charge. I stood virtually alone, after a huge bank failure threatened the State, and I alone was called upon to investigate what happened, identified a popular, but inappropriately involved public official, and took steps leading to his impeachment. On a second occasion, I stood alone as an outsider asked to evaluate legal circumstances following formal opinions to the contrary from other lawyers, to take the position that a second elected official should be impeached and removed from office for election fraud. The impeachment occurred and the officer was ousted. Repeatedly, I stood alone with widows, dismembered clients, families facing loss of all their assets, and persons with fights for health insurance coverage for essential diseases or illnesses threatening shortened the lives or death. I stood up for the families of victims moved out of the Beatrice State Home, several of whom died, when the state government failed to do what was required to make the State Home competent. About 350 jury trials and 250 appeals before appellate courts, in the most rigorous and challenging the setting in our society, have proven my strength and courage over a career spanning four (4) decades. I have earned each scar I bear in battle.
Larry Marvin	[not asked]

<u>Everyone questions</u> - (Regardless of what office is being sought, we ask the following questions so voters can better predict how you will handle tough issues.)

<u>Personal/Political</u> - To what degree should an office holder's personal principles be reflected in political decision making? To whom/what will you go for counsel in decision making?

Dave Domina	The oath of office provides the answer. The oath does not permit one to deviate from upholding the Constitution and laws of the United
	States for personal principles. A United States Senator should listen carefully to as many diverse views as possible. Each meeting should
	be considered with care and weighed against the responsibilities of the oath, and the needs and interests of the United States and its
	people, and of Nebraska and its people. This is how I will make decisions. I will not ask a pollster, a party leader, a supporter, or anyone
	else, what decision, I must make. I will listen when opinions are offered, facts are shared, and thoughts are expressed.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: Discuss your view on how an office holder's personal life and/or values affects his/her political performance. 2012 answer:
	He or she should not have criminal standards.

<u>Abortion/capital punishment/etc.</u> - What definition do you give for each of these terms: pro-life, pro-choice? How do you define yourself and how did you arrive at that view? How does your position relate to the death penalty, embryonic stem cell research, and physician-assisted suicide?

Dave Domina	I do not see any of these terms as central to the issues confronting the United States and Nebraska. Offering definitions distracts from issues in the process of election to the United States Senator and the challenges confronting it in this selection and for the next six (6) years. As with all issues, the current state of the law, the facts related to the need for a change in the law, and the needs of the nation must inform and direct each and every decision must be made as it arises.
Larry Marvin	2012 question: What definition do you give for each of these terms: pro-life, pro-choice. Which is your view and what education or experiences drives you to hold that view? 2012 Answer: I am a protestant pro-life person. As a volunteer fireman for 32 years and a charter member of my rescue squad we saved lives and property. I was a charter member of my local pantry to sustain life. All my fraternal organizations promote a better life, and I have attended pro-life pancake fund raisers and garage sales, but I also strongly speak out against criminally denying women 100% of their American civil rights. 2012 question: What is your opinion on the value of stem cell research, whether embryonic or adult stem cells? 2012 answer: I strongly support this cutting edge science as long as it is done ethically, and all information is Domestic Domain.

<u>Homosexuality</u> - Some consider same-sex relationships should carry the same rights and privileges as traditional marriage. Some view homosexuality as an issue of potential discrimination on the level with race or ethnicity. Some consider it a matter of personal privacy. Some say marriage, for numerous reasons, should remain defined by government as between one man and one woman. Some say children should be raised by a mother and a father and that they are being forgotten in today's discussions on the subject. With which group's philosophy do you most closely agree, and why?

Dave Domina	These questions are distracting from the momentous matters confronting the United States. Our focus must be on a functioning and effective Congress that works, not on matters that divide, distract, or divert attention. I am an American, and a Nebraska. I identify with the members of each of the groups hypothesized, who would identify himself or herself as an American, and as a Nebraskan, above, and before all else.
Larry Marvin	I think this issue is hot politics. The fanatical religious leaders were who killed Jesus. They had the kangaroo court trial and crucified Him. That's the fanatical religious leaders; they're bullies, ignorant dictators, criminally ignorant. Our Constitution keeps me with all of my rights. I've given certain rights to Federal, some to Nebraska rights. All rights remain with the individual. No one has the right to say you have to get married this way. Religious fanatics don't like it. If I think something is distasteful, I don't have to join in that behavior. It's impossible to solve. Politics, religion, personal problems are not solvable. We have to coexist. Politics are affected by lies, special interest groups. I want 100% freedoms for all of us - and especially women who make \$.70 on the dollar.

Past President - What key considerations did you use in deciding how you voted for President in 2012?

Dave Domina	I evaluated the candidates for the presidency and 2012, based upon my perception of their devotion and commitment to our nation and its people. I considered their personal histories and circumstances and evaluated whether they appeared to have made personal decisions that avoided, or abuse the law, or looked for loopholes within it. I made a personal selection and mark my ballot.
Larry	2012 question: What key considerations will you use in deciding how you will vote for President in 2012? 2012 answer: I clearly support Barrack
Marvin	Obama or Larry Marvin for U.S. President.

<u>Anything else?</u> - Declare anything else you want voters to know about you.

Dave Domina	Thank you for permitting me to participate. No one in the race for the United States Senate has spent more time than I drafting and considering laws, evaluating evidence, making difficult decisions on issues, and standing up for the decisions made, even in the most uncomfortable of circumstances. Unlike anyone else, the message of my life to date is this: I am prepared for the responsibilities of the United States Senate on terms that are not equaled by any other candidate.
Larry Marvin	2012 answer: I am a very supportive person who believes an injustice to anyone, is really an injustice to all of us. I believe that a Right delayed, is a Right denied. I believe that all of us humans on this planet earth are God's children and each of us is precious. Together we can make this a better world, and as you know I am here doing my part. So, make sure you get registered and on May 15th vote for LARRY MARVIN for U.S. Senate. I
	expect good behavior from each of you when my back is turned, just as if I am present and watching you. [signed Larry 3-23-12, 10:22PM]